


# **Los Angeles County Probation Department**

AB109 Update






# Outline

- Assumptions and Trends
- Services
- Administration
- Lessons Learned
- Action Planning



# Assumptions and Trends

## PSPs Released per LEADS vs CDCR Release Projections





- Risk Level Assumptions Year 1


Risk Level	Assumption	Actual
Very High (ultra)	0	10%
High	49%	48%
Medium	26%	42%
Low	25%	< 1% grouped in Medium

\*10% of Active Cases are Very High Risk having a score equal or greater than 30 as determined by the Level of Service Case Management Inventory (LS/CMI) criminogenic risk assessment.

- Assumption used for staffing basis
- Critical Needs Not Accounted For
  - Medically Fragile (Paralyzed, Seizures, Diabetic Sores)
  - De-certified Mentally Disordered Offenders (n=6)




- Operational Trends






- Assumption that a large %PSPs would have substance abuse and mental health treatment needs

### Number of Cases Processed at PRC and Percentage of Cases with Mental or Substance Abuse Conditions





## • Narcotics Testing




■ PSPs Tested Positive at HUB		169	461	336	165
■ PSPs Tested Positive at Supervision	3	261	2,074	2,574	1,580
PSPs Tested at HUB	-	1,332	2,702	2,364	1,396
PSPs Tested at Supervision	5	645	5,609	8,299	5,682



- Supervision

- Active Cases by Supervisorial District


**Total PSPs by District**







## • Arrests



■ Total Arrests by District as of 11/30/12	588	1,332	1,775	683	955	924	6,257
■ Total PSPs by District as of 12/31/12	1,251	2,286	3,440	1,194	1,956	1,909	12,036

Number of Arrests per PSPs	1 Arrest	2 Arrests	3 Arrests	4 Arrests	5 Arrests	6 Arrests	7 Arrests	8 Arrests	9 Arrests	10 Arrests	13 Arrests	14 Arrests	15 Arrests	22 Arrests	Total
PSPs	2,288	873	341	132	64	24	13	3	1	2	1	1	1	1	3,745
% of PSPs	61.1%	23.3%	9.1%	3.5%	1.7%	0.6%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%



## • Sanctions

	2011	2012				
Sanction	Oct - Dec	Jan - Mar	Apr - Jun	Jul - Sep	Oct & Nov	Total
Flash Incarceration (Supervision & D83)	59	268	850	1,392	1,196	3,765
Additional <b>Conditions</b> of Supervision	0	10	36	38	13	97
Increase Reporting/Supervision Level	0	12	65	54	41	172
PAAWS	0	22	40	38	32	132
Refer for Revocation Hearing	0	10	6	3	10	29
Verbal Admonishments	0	99	786	818	592	2,295
Referral to Treatment Program	0	30	281	250	145	706
GPS	0	0	0	2	1	3
Total Sanctions	59	451	2,064	2,595	2,030	7,199
Active # of PSPs at end of Quarter	2,676	8,753	10,738	11,873	11,906	
% of PSP Sanctioned	2.20%	5.15%	19.22%	21.86%	17.05%	




- Court

	October, November, December 2011	January, February, March 2012	April, May, June 2012	July, August, September 2012	October, November 2012	Total
Warrants Requested	185	677	1,100	1,421	923	4,306
Warrants Outstanding (includes Deportations)	100	349	817	1,441	1,712	1,712
Revocation Petitions	-	16	210	509	627	1,362




- Revocations by Supervisorial District





## LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT

- Sentences for 1,389 cases completed by District Attorney





## • Closed Cases by Supervisorial District

- 355 of 1061 (33.5%) released and eligible for 1 year termination Oct 2012
- 383 of 1215 (31.5%) released and eligible for 1 year termination Nov 2012

	Supervisorial District						
Code Description	Can not determine	1	2	3	4	5	Grand Total
DECEASED - HOMICIDE			2				2
DECEASED-LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTION				1			1
DECEASED-MEDICAL NATURAL CAUSE	1		2			2	5
OUTGOING TRANSFERRED	33			1	3	4	41
TERM-COUNTY JAIL/LASC		1	6		4	2	13
TERMINATED	45	110	133	38	78	63	467
TERM-STATE PRISON/LASC	9	23	35	6	24	14	111
TERM-STATE PRISON/NON-LASC			1				1
TERM-MAND 12MTH FREE CUST SANC	61	116	222	67	137	135	738
Grand Total	149	250	401	113	246	220	1,379



# Services


- Co-location Status of DMH, DPH/SAPC, DPSS
  - DMH and DPSS co-located at all 6 HUBs
  - DPH/SAPC co-located at DRC, LYN, AV and Revocation Court
    - Will co-locate at ESFV, PVY and RIO by June 30, 2013  
(attributed to space, selecting contractor, special wiring)





- Healthright 360 contract
- 3,076 Housing Referrals

### Transitional Housing Referrals February 2012 thru November 2012








## Employment Services

- 364 Active
- 3,483 Closed






- Housing and Employment Contracts Update
  - RFPs have been issued for Employment Services
  - Evaluation of Sole Source Housing Options
    - In Consultation with Board Offices and Chief Executive Office regarding next steps



# Administration

- Staffing
  - Total positions 470, number hired 222, number vacant 248





- Staffing
  - Recruitment
  - Department of Justice
  - Experience Level vs. Offender Sophistication
  - Space
  - Equipment
- Office Space
  - Only had space for 139, lacking space for 331 staff
  - Space Request submitted to CEO Real Estate for the procurement of 7 offices, to be located regionally, throughout the county. This includes the placements of office in the following areas:
    - South Los Angeles
    - West Los Angeles
    - San Fernando Valley
    - West San Gabriel Valley
    - City of Industry
    - South Bay
    - Antelope Valley



## Lessons Learned

- Very High Risk require more intensive supervision
- Research indicates that Very High Risk offenders are more likely to recidivate within 1 year of release and treatment resistant
- Very High Risk Responsivity Factors
  - Mentally ill
  - Transient
  - Gang



- Housing
  - Long-term housing
    - Current contract does not assist with case management for long term permanency
  - Medical
    - No linkages to DHS for medical housing of medically fragile PSPs
  - Mentally Ill
    - Shortage of step-down facilities
    - Medically fragile and sex offenders excluded
    - Limited residential facilities for co-occurring



- Inconsistent use of applied sanctions
  - Newly promoted staff lacking field experience
  - Staff are now required to make decisions that used to be responsibility of the court
  - No consistency when sanctioning repeat offenders



# Action Plan

The legend below provides a description of the levels of sanctions recommended for each violation type using non-compliance of treatment-substance abuse as an example.

1	Intermediate Sanction: Verbal Admonishment	4	Flash Incarceration (Range indicated 1 to 10 days maximum)
2	Intermediate Sanctions: Increased Supervision Level / Increased Supervision or Treatment Frequency / Added Conditions of Supervision/ PAAWS / Community Service	5	Revocation Petition (Range of recommended time indicated 11 to 180 days maximum.)
3	Intermediate Sanction: Electronic Monitoring (House Arrest) / GPS	@	Request for Absconder Arrest Warrant

## TREATMENT – SUBSTANCE ABUSE

Conditions of Supervision	1st Occurrence	2nd Occurrence	3rd Occurrence	4th Occurrence	5th Occurrence
X88 - PRCS: COOPERATE IN PLAN FOR SUBS ABUSE	2	3	1-10	60-90	120-180
X31 - PRCS: ABIDE BY PROGRAM RULES ETC	2	1-10	60-90	90-120	120-180
X17 - PRCS: NOT FREQUENT	1	3	1-5	5-10	60-180
X27 - PRCS: SUBMIT TO NARC/ALC TESTING w/TX OR CASC	1	2	1-5	5-10	60-180
X27 - PRCS: SUBMIT TO NARC/ALC TESTING NO TX OR CASC	3	1-5	5-10	60-90	90-180





- Very High Risk Caseloads
  - Lower caseload 20 to 1 for increased supervision and use of graduated sanctions
  - Implementation of Cognitive Behavior Treatment Programs
  - Collaborating with LASD to identify PSPs in custody for Just in Reach services while in custody
  - Linkages prior to release from custody



- Very High Risk Caseloads
  - Assign to Staff co-located with Law Enforcement
    - LAPD
      - 5 DPOs in place
    - Chief of Police Regional Services (COPRS)
      - 7 DPOs by 1/31/13
    - LASD Parole Compliance Team
      - 5 by 2/28/13
    - Independent Cities
      - 6 (2-Santa Monica, 2-Santa Clarita, 2-San Fernando)
  - 24 hour non-compliance hotline for providers
    - Completed on 01/03/13. The phone line is established and dedicated email address created for a referral of non-compliance and potential violation to the provider community (Healthright 360 Contractors, DMH, and DPH Providers).



- Systems modifications
  - Exception reports
  - Add-ins (intermediate sanctions, onsite drug testing)
  - Automated generation and monitoring of court reports



LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT

# Legislation

# Questions

